The State of New Hampshire

I	Bureau of Securities Regulation		
2	In the matter of)		
3) MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER) INV08-024		
4	& SMITH INCORPORATED,		
5	Respondent.) ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ORDER		
6)		
7	WHEREAS, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("Merrill Lynch") is a		
8	broker-dealer registered in the state of New Hampshire, with a Central Registration Depository ("CRD")		
9	number of 7691; and		
10	State securities regulators from multiple jurisdictions have conducted coordinated investigations		
11	into the registration of Merrill Lynch Client Associates ("CAs") and Merrill Lynch's supervisory system		
12	with respect to the registrations of CAs; and		
13	Merrill Lynch has cooperated with regulators conducting the investigations by responding to		
14	inquiries, providing documentary evidence and other materials, and providing regulators with access to facts		
15	relating to the investigations; and		
16	Merrill Lynch has advised regulators of its agreement to resolve the investigations pursuant to the		
17	terms specified in this Consent Order (the "Order"); and		
18	Merrill Lynch agrees to make certain changes in its supervisory system with respect to the		
19	registration of CAs, and to make certain payments in accordance with the terms of this Order; and		
20	Merrill Lynch elects to waive permanently any right to a hearing and appeal under New Hampshire		
21	RSA 421-B:26-a and 421-B:27 with respect to this Order; and		
22	Solely for the purpose of terminating the multi-state investigations, including the investigation by		
	the staff of the Bureau of Securities Regulation ("Bureau"), and in settlement of the issues contained in this		
23	Order, Merrill Lynch, without admitting or denying the findings of fact or conclusions of law contained in		
24	this Order, consents to the entry of this Order.		
25	NOW, THEREFORE, the Director, as administrator of the Bureau, hereby enters this Order:		

FINDINGS OF FACTS

I.

Background on Client Associates

2. The CAs function as sales assistants and typically provide administrative and sales support to one or more of Merrill Lynch's Financial Advisors ("FAs"). There are different titles within the CA position, including Registered Client Associate and Registered Senior Client Associate.

3. The responsibilities of a CA specifically include:

1. Merrill Lynch admits the jurisdiction of the Bureau in this matter.

- a. Handling client requests;
- b. Resolving client inquiries and complaints;
- c. Determining if client issues require escalation to the FA or the branch management team; and
- d. Processing of operational documents such as letters of authorization and client check requests.
- 4. In addition to the responsibilities described above, and of particular significance to this Order, some CAs are permitted to accept unsolicited orders from clients. As discussed below, Merrill Lynch's written policies and procedures require that any CAs accepting client orders first obtain the necessary licenses and registrations.
- 5. Notably, FAs might have a "primary CA" and a "secondary CA". As suggested by the designation, the customary practice is that the primary CA would handle the FA's administrative matters and client orders. However, if the primary CA was unavailable, the secondary CA would handle the FA's administrative matters and client orders.
- 6. During the period from 2002 to the present, Merrill Lynch employed approximately 6,200 CAs (average) per year.

Registration Required

7. Pursuant to RSA 421-B:6, it is unlawful for any person to transact business in this state as an agent unless such person is licensed under 421-B. Further, it is unlawful for any broker-dealer to employ an agent unless the agent is licensed.

A/73163035.1

- 8. Pursuant to the general prohibition under 421-B:6, a person cannot accept unsolicited orders in New Hampshire without being licensed as a broker-dealer agent.
- 9. Pursuant to 421-B:26, unlicensed activity can be sanctioned up to a \$2,500 fine for each transaction and the broker-dealer agent and employing broker-dealer can lose licensing privileges by revocation or suspension.

Merrill Lynch Requires Registration of Client Associates

- 10. In order for a CA to accept client orders, Merrill Lynch generally required each CA to pass the series 7 and 63 qualification exams and to register in the appropriate jurisdictions.
- 11. At all times relevant to this Order, Merrill Lynch's policies and procedures specified that each CA maintain registrations in the same jurisdictions as his or her FA, or broadly required that each CA maintain registrations in all necessary jurisdictions.

Regulatory Investigations and Findings

- 12. In May 2008, the Bureau received a tip alleging that Merrill Lynch was failing to ensure its CAs were in compliance with jurisdictional registration requirements and its own procedures. The tip alleged that Merrill Lynch CAs were registered in two jurisdictions the CA's home state and one neighboring state because Merrill Lynch only paid for registrations in two jurisdictions.
- 13. After the Bureau's initial review supported the allegations in the tip, the Staff opened an investigation into Merrill Lynch's practices in connection with CA registrations.
- 14. During the summer of 2008, Merrill Lynch received inquiries regarding CA registrations from a number of state securities regulators.
- 15. Because Merrill Lynch's relevant trade records were maintained in hard copy and only at branch offices across the country, the multi-state investigation focused on systemic issues with Merrill Lynch CA registrations and related supervisory structure instead of attempting to identify each incidence of unregistered activity. Specifically:
 - a. After accepting a client order, CAs accessed the electronic trading system to enter the order;
 - b. The CAs did not have to identify themselves during the order entry process. Therefore, there is no electronic record that identifies which orders were accepted by CAs;

- c. Instead, Merrill Lynch maintained a daily report that recorded the identity of the person who accepted and/or entered each order. However, this report was not maintained electronically, and was only maintained at the branch office where the order was entered. Merrill Lynch represented that this daily report was the only record that could identify who accepted a client order.
- d. Merrill Lynch's trading system checked the registration of the FA, but did not check the registration status of the person accepting the order to ensure that the person was registered in the appropriate jurisdiction.
- 16. The multi-state investigation found that many CAs supported FAs licensed in New Hampshire when the CAs were not licensed in New Hampshire as agents of Merrill Lynch. This difference in license status increased the possibility that CAs would engage in unlicensed activity.
- 17. The multi-state investigation found that certain Merrill Lynch CAs engaged in the sale of securities in New Hampshire at times when the CAs were not appropriately licensed in New Hampshire.

Merrill Lynch's Remedial Measures and Cooperation

- 18. As a result of the inquiries by the Bureau and other state regulators, Merrill Lynch conducted a review of its CA registration practices.
- 19. Mer rill Lynch's review found that as of June 30, 2008, the firm had 3,780 registered CAs. Approximately 2,200, almost 60%, of those registered CAs were only registered in their home state or their home state and one additional state.
- 20. Consistent with the fact that many Merrill Lynch CAs were only registered in one or two jurisdictions, Merrill Lynch's review found incidences of trading by CAs not properly state registered.
- 21. In October 2008, Merrill Lynch amended its registration policy to require that each CA mirror the state registrations for the FAs that they support. Merrill Lynch's Registration Compliance personnel participated in calls with branch management to advise the field about this requirement.
- 22. As Merrill Lynch worked on a more permanent solution, it also developed a temporary report intended to identify instances where a CA's registration did not match the FA or FAs the CA supported.

¹ It should be noted that Merrill Lynch's policy required CA/FA registration mirroring prior to 2006. In 2006, it amended the relevant policies and procedures to more broadly require that CAs maintain appropriate registrations.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

23. Between October 1, 2008 and January 28, 2009, 318 CAs registered with the State of New Hampshire as agents of Merrill Lynch. Yet, data as of February 28, 2009 indicated that significant gaps remained between the licensure of CAs and their FAs.

24. However, Merrill Lynch, as a compliance enhancement, also developed an electronic system that will prevent a person from entering client orders from a state in which the person accepting the order is not registered. Merrill Lynch has represented to the multistate working group that the firm began implementing this new system in June 2009 and expects it to be fully implemented by December 31, 2009.

25. Mer rill Lynch provided timely responses and substantial cooperation in connection with the regulatory investigations into this issue. Furthermore, as displayed by the corrective actions described above, Merrill Lynch has acknowledged the problems associated with its CA registrations and supervisory system.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Bureau has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to RSA 421-B et seq.
- 2. Merrill Lynch's failure to establish an adequate system to monitor the license status of persons accepting client orders constitutes a failure to supervise pursuant to RSA 421-B:10, I(b)(10).
- 3. Merrill Lynch's failure to require its CAs to be licensed in the appropriate jurisdictions constitutes a failure to enforce its established written procedures, and is in violation of RSA 421-B:6 and 421-B:10 which constitute grounds for sanctions under RSA 421-B:26.
- 4. Pursuant to 421-B:6, Merrill Lynch's sales of securities in New Hampshire through unlicensed CAs constitutes violations of RSA 421-B:6 for employing unlicensed agents/sales representatives and the basis for an order sanctioning Merrill Lynch.
- 5. Pursuant to RSA 421-B:23, Merrill Lynch's sales of securities in New Hampshire through agents/sales representatives not licensed in New Hampshire constitutes a basis to order Merrill Lynch to

24

25

cease and desist engaging in the sale of securities in New Hampshire through unlicensed agents/sales representatives.

- 6. Pursuant to 421-B:26, the violations described above constitute basis for the assessment of an administrative fine against Merrill Lynch.
 - 7. The Bureau finds the following relief appropriate and in the public interest.

III.

UNDERTAKINGS

- 1. Merrill Lynch hereby undertakes and agrees to immediately establish and maintain a trade monitoring system that prevents any person from entering client orders that originate from jurisdictions where the person accepting the order is not appropriately registered.
- 2. Merrill Lynch further undertakes and agrees to file with the Bureau, within sixty days of the date of this Order, a report describing Merrill Lynch's improvements in its ability to monitor the identity and registration status of each person who accepts a client order entered on Merrill Lynch's trading system.
- 3. For the period from the date of this Order through December 31, 2010, Merrill Lynch further undertakes and agrees to notify the Bureau if it finds that any person associated with Merrill Lynch accepted a client order in New Hampshire without being licensed, or exempt from licensing, with the Bureau as an agent of Merrill Lynch.

IV.

ORDER

On the basis of the Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Merrill Lynch's consent to the entry of this Order,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order concludes the investigation by the Bureau and any other action that the Bureau could commence against Merrill Lynch under applicable New Hampshire law on behalf of New Hampshire as it relates to unlicensed activity in New Hampshire by Merrill Lynch's CAs and Merrill Lynch's supervision of CA licensure during the period from January 1, 2004 through the date of this Order.
- 2. This Order is entered into solely for the purpose of resolving the referenced multi-state investigation, and is not intended to be used for any other purpose. For any person or entity not a party to the Order, this Order does not limit or create any private rights or remedies against Merrill Lynch including, limit or create liability of Merrill Lynch, or limit or create defenses of Merrill Lynch, to any claims.
 - 3. Merrill Lynch is hereby censured pursuant to RSA 421-B:21,I-a(h).
- 4. Merrill Lynch is hereby ordered to cease and desist from engaging in the sale of securities in the State of New Hampshire through persons not registered with the Bureau as agents of Merrill Lynch.
- 5. Merrill Lynch is hereby ordered to pay the sum of \$660,560.00 consisting of \$100,000.00 in fines and the remainder past due fees to the State of New Hampshire within ten days of the date of this Order Said payment shall be made by certified check or money order made payable to the State of New Hampshire.
- 6. Merrill Lynch shall pay up to a total of Twenty six million, five hundred sixty three thousand, ninety four dollars and fifty cents (\$26,563,094.50) in fines, penalties and any other monetary sanctions among the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands pursuant to the calculations discussed with the multi-state working group.
- 7. However, if any state securities regulator determines not to accept Merrill Lynch's settlement offer, the total amount of the payment to the State of New Hampshire shall not be affected, and shall remain at \$660,560.00.
 - 8. Merrill Lynch is hereby ordered to comply with the Undertakings contained herein.
- 9. This order is not intended by the Bureau to subject any Covered Person to any disqualifications under the laws of the United States, any state, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands including, without limitation, any disqualification from relying upon the state or federal registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions. "Covered Person," means Merrill Lynch or any of its

affiliates and their current or former officers or former officers, directors, employees, or other persons that would otherwise be disqualified as a result of the Orders (as defined below).

- 10. This Order and the order of any other State in related proceedings against Merrill Lynch (collectively, the "Orders") shall not disqualify any Covered Person from any business that they otherwise are qualified, licensed or permitted to perform under applicable securities laws of the State of New Hampshire and any disqualifications from relying upon this state's registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions that arise from the Orders are hereby waived.
- This Order shall be binding upon Merrill Lynch and its successors and assigns as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates with respect to all conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities, undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions.

Dated this 2 (day of October , 2009.

BY ORDER OF Mark Connolly

Director

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER BY MERRILL LYNCH

Merrill Lynch hereby acknowledges that it has been served with a copy of this Consent Order ("Order"), has read the foregoing Order, is aware of its right to a hearing and appeal in this matter, and has waived the same.

Merrill Lynch admits the jurisdiction of the Bureau, neither admits nor denies the Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order, and consents to entry of this Order by the Bureau as settlement of the issues contained in this Order.

Merrill Lynch agrees that it shall not claim, assert, or apply for a tax deduction or tax credit with regard to any state, federal or local tax for any administrative monetary penalty that Merrill Lynch shall pay pursuant to this Order.

Merrill Lynch states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Order and that it has entered into this Order voluntarily.

Teresa M. Brenner represents that she is Associate General Counsel of Merrill Lynch and that, as such, has been authorized by Merrill Lynch to enter into this Order for and on behalf of Merrill Lynch.

Dated this 14th day of October, 2009.

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED

INCORT ORATED

Teresa M. Brenner

Title: Associate General Counsel

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG

I certify that Teresa M. Brenner personally known to me, appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal, this the 14th day of October, 2009.

25 (Official Seal)

COUNTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

James E. Dwiggins, Notary Public

My Commission Expires: May 2nd, 2010.

A/73163035.1