NEW HAMPSHIRE
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

William M. Gardner
Secretary of State

Robert P. Ambrose
Senior Deputy Secretary of State

David M. Scanlan
Deputy Secretary of State

March 20, 2019

Ballot Counting Device Manufacturer

VIA E-Mail and US Mail

Dear

Thank you for participating in the demonstration day held on Friday February 15, 2019. New Hampshire state legislators and election officials found your presentations and the opportunity to view your devices very informative.

We are contemplating next steps, which may include arranging to have devices available at our office for several weeks for local election officials, legislators, and our staff to gain more experience with your devices.

Before that step, we pose the attached questions to all vendors. We want to better understand your systems ability to fulfill New Hampshire's requirements. Our elections are in many ways similar to other state's elections. We, however, have several uncommon or unique statutory requirements that control the conduct of our elections. Municipal election officials, who carry out New Hampshire's elections, assisted in preparing these questions. Under New Hampshire law municipalities may acquire and use a ballot counting device approved by the Ballot Law Commission. Local officials ultimately decide whether to purchase a new ballot counting device and when.

Please respond in writing to these questions by Friday April 19th, 2019. Submit your written response to me at the address below.

Submit requests for clarification or additional information by e-mail to me, Deputy Secretary of State David Scanlan at: David.Scanlan@sos.nh.gov We will send any response to requests for clarification to all recipients of this letter.

Sincerely,

David M. Scanlan
Deputy Secretary of State

Table of Contents

Background on Ballots in New Hampshire

New Hampshire state law requires the Secretary of State to prepare and print all state election ballots. Currently, the New Hampshire Secretary of State prepares one format of ballot for all towns and cities. In the past separate ballot formats were required depending on which ballot counting device the town or city used. Towns that hand count ballots received a third format. A single ballot format statewide assists public education on voting, aids election officials, and is more efficient for the state. The Ballot Law Commission may certify multiple ballot counting devices. We see a benefit to having a competitive marketplace where cities and towns can choose between devices. We prefer devices that will count a single ballot format statewide. A ballot counting device that can only count a ballot created in that vendor's ballot creation software, unless adopted statewide, would complicate our ballot creation. In your response, describe whether your precinct/polling place

ballot counting devices can be programmed to count the current statewide ballot format or if your device requires use of the manufacturer's proprietary ballot creation software.

We are enclosing with this letter sample New Hampshire ballots. Note the different positions on the ballot for any given party column and candidate name. Due to having a limited stock of surplus ballots, vendors may receive paper ballots from different towns/wards, but each vendor will receive samples of the major variations. We are also attaching PDFs of ballots. All vendors are receiving the same PDF ballots. All samples provided on paper or PDF will have "sample" added to the ballot and we will redact the endorsement (Secretary's signature).

New Hampshire law requires:
- Political party columns are rotated. All ballots for a particular polling place are identical. From one polling place compared to another, the location of each column rotates such that each column is in the leftmost position as nearly as is possible an equal number of times on ballots statewide. RSA 656:5
- Candidate names are in alphabetical order, but listed starting at a randomly selected position in the alphabet. The random selection is done individually for each possible number of candidates. That is the starting position in the alphabet if there are two candidates will likely be different than the starting position in the alphabet if there are three candidates.
- For vote-for-more-than-one offices, within the party columns the names must be staggered so that the names do not line up evenly in a horizontal direction. In essence, within a column, lines must be skipped so the name in the adjacent columns do not line up horizontally. RSA 656:5, I
- On a Primary ballot, except for State Representative, whenever there are 2 or more candidates for nomination to the same office, the names must be rotated so that each candidate name appears in each vertical position on as equal a number of ballots as possible. RSA 656:24. For example if there are 4 candidates (A, B, C, and D) for nomination by the XWY party for the office of governor, ¼ of the ballots statewide must have A in the topmost position, ¼ must have B in the topmost position, and so forth such that each candidate appears in each vertical position on as equal a number of ballots as is possible. This requires the ballot production system to have the ability to systematically rotate the name order. It also requires analysis of the number of registered voters at each precinct/polling place and then distributing each alternative name order so that as close as possible, each alternative order will be seen by an equal number of voters (not an equal number of precincts).
- Currently, we also do a manual review where there is column and/or name rotation to minimize the frequency of ballots with the same rotation order being assigned to geographically adjacent precincts. An effort is made for the precincts in any one region of the state to have each of the alternative rotations. Therefore, the ballot creation software must allow manual adjustment after the software has applied the rules for rotation

Precinct Count Device

1. Describe in outline form the workflow for conducting an election using your proposed vote counting device system, including all steps required from defining the election, ballot preparation, programming the device, testing the device in advance of the election, opening and closing the device on election day at the polls, election night tabulation, and reporting.

2. Can your precinct device be programed to count each of the sample ballots provided with this set of questions without any redesign or change to the ballot?

3. What is the lightest weight paper that your precinct scanner will reliably count?

4. What printing precision is required by your precinct scanner?

    a. Can ballots be printed from a desktop computer with a Commercial Off the Shelf Printer?

    b. Does your precinct scanner require ballots printed by a commercial printing service?

    c. Can ballots be printed with a side or top margin? If yes, what limit is there on the size of the margin?

Scanned Images of Ballots

5. Does your device save electronic files which are an image of each cast ballot counted by the device?

    a. If yes, can your software can turn this feature on and off.?

6. Does your device save an electronic file which is an image of a ballot if the device rejects the ballot (ballot from another election, ballot from wrong town/ward, or wet ballot, etc.)?

Precinct Configuration

7. Describe a typical precinct configuration.

8. Describe the procedures poll workers will follow to open/start and close/produce report and shut down your device at the polls.

9. Describe precinct reporting features.

10. Describe the voter interface.

    a. Describe how a voter is alerted to undervotes and overvotes.
    b. Can the device be programmed to return the overvoted ballot to the voter?

c. Can a voter notification can be turned on and off?

d. If yes, describe how notification for a particular alert is turned on or off.

11. Describe how a voter casts a ballot.

12. Describe how a voter receives confirmation that his/her ballot has been cast and counted.

13. Describe the screen size for the screen the voter would view to receive device messages when casting a ballot.

14. Describe the font sizes and options available for the messages a voter may receive from the device when casting a ballot.

15. Describe how messages a voter may receive from the device when casting a ballot are programmed into the machine and how they can be revised.

16. Can local election officials make changes to the messages?

a. If yes, what additional hardware or software is required and at what cost?

17. If only the vendor can change the messages, does this require an on-site service call?

a. Describe the process and provide the current cost to implement a revision.

18. Describe your device's privacy protections related to the screen used to provide a voter with messages.
a. Can a voter in line behind the voter see the message?
b. Can the moderator standing beside the device see the message?

Ballot Design and Printing

19. What ballot lengths can be accommodated?
a. 11"?
b. 14"?
c. 18"?
d. 21"?
e. 22"?

20. What is the required size, if any, of the ballot header?

21. What is the largest size ballot your machine can process?

22. Can the State or a town/city purchase ballot printing directly from your company?

23. In your response provide an 8 ½ by 22 inch piece of paper that shows all the locations for ovals and their orientation for every oval that your device is capable of counting.

Device Programming

24. Can the state or a town/city contract with your company to program the ballot counting device?
    a. Provide the current price, separately for each, to program your device to count the two attached PDFs of ballots.
       i. Salem 2018 general is a typical state ballot.
       ii. Londonderry 2019 is a typical town/school ballot.

25. If the state or a municipal user wants to program the precinct counting device for a particular ballot without using your programming services, how is that done?

    a. What software is required?

    b. Can your system count a ballot designed and printed from other software, such as Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF products?

    c. If your system requires proprietary software to program the ballot counting device to read a ballot, will towns, cities, and school districts using your precinct counting device for municipal elections have to adopt a uniform ballot style/layout?

       i. If not, are there any limitations on the ballot design? If yes, describe.

    d. If your system requires proprietary software to program the ballot counting device to read a ballot, provide general pricing information to obtain this service, per each different ballot.

For a New Hampshire General Election, New Hampshire creates 320 different paper official ballots. At the least, the town/ward name is different on each ballot. With column rotation, ballots with all the same candidates on them require different versions for different polling places/precincts so that a given candidate is in a different column in different polling places. Typically we have had 3 columns, so in a region where voters are all voting for the same offices/candidates, 1/3 will have a given candidate in the first column position, 1/3 will have that candidate in the second column position, etc.

New Hampshire has five Executive Council Districts, 24 State Senate Districts, and 400 State Representative seats, under the 2012 redistricting, distributed into 204 districts (some single member, some multi-member).

There are 312 separate polling places or precincts. Currently 190 of these precincts use an electronic ballot counting device. These 190 precincts serve approximately 7/8ths of the voters in the state.

Each ballot must be prepared in the following forms:
> Election Day - Paper
> Absentee - Paper
> Absentee - PDF
> Sample – Paper

Absentee ballots must be identical to election day ballots, but for having the word "Absentee" on the top of the ballot. Sample ballots must be identical to election day ballots, but for having the word "Sample" on the top of the ballot and omitting the Secretary of State's signature.

New Hampshire towns, at town elections, may have several different ballots being cast at the same election. Currently, voters cast two or more different ballots (ballot pages) in the same device. Does your software or precinct counter hardware have any limits on the number of distinct pages of ballots it can be programmed for and count at one election?

    i. Ballots may include:
        1. Town election ballot
        2. Grade School District election ballot
        3. Middle School District election ballot
        4. Regional High school District election ballot
        5. Water District election ballot
        6. Fire District election ballot
        7. Other Village District Election ballots (one NH town currently has 8 different fire, water, and village districts which have some voters who vote in that town.)

Many New Hampshire municipalities use "official ballot" voting, known as SB2 voting after the bill number which adopted the system. Official paper ballots are used to vote on the budget and on all the warrant article questions before the voters. Currently, this can result in each "ballot" consisting of several pages of ballots listing the offices to be voted and then all the questions. These ballots are typically printed on two sides. While 2 to 5 pages (4 to 10 printed sides) of ballots are most common, some years some jurisdictions require more pages.

Town, School District, and Village District clerks are required to prepare and print all local election ballots.

    26. How many different ballots (ballot pages) can your precinct scanner be programmed to count at one election?

    27. How much of the election specific programming can be done by local election officials for local ballots that are to be counted by your device?

New Hampshire, for state elections must prepare a general election absentee ballot that must be available in paper form and PDF form to be sent to UOCAVA voters no later than 45 days before the election. New Hampshire will hold its State Primary election on the 8th of September and its general election on November 3rd in 2020. 45 days before the general election is September 19th. While the candidates for most races will be known by September 10th, those which will be recounted may not be known until the Ballot Law Commission decides any contests arising from recounts on September 17th. The Ballot Law Commission must also decide on outstanding issues regarding candidate eligibility and any challenges regarding replacement of candidates on the ballot.

    28. If programming your precinct counter requires that the ballot be created in your system software and if this service would be purchased from you, please discuss whether you have

the capacity to guarantee accurate general election ballots with at most 9 calendar days to prepare the ballots and in some cases as few as 2 days to finalize ballots.

29. Describe whether you have been subject to similar deadlines in other jurisdictions and identify them.

30. Would your pricing change given these constraints?

    a. If yes, describe pricing for ballot preparation under these time constraints.

New Hampshire has traditionally used a separate vendor or in-house resources to prepare our accessible voting system. New Hampshire has developed election management software that is capable of exporting ballot data for use in programming our accessible voting system.

31. If a ballot is created with your ballot creation software, is your system capable of exporting the ballot data in XML format for use by our accessible voting system?

32. Describe how your system is compatible with other vendor's systems. If another vendor's accessible voting system marks a pre-printed ballot can it be counted by your ballot counting device?

33. Describe whether your system is compatible with other vendor's systems so that a ballot from an accessible system that prints a ballot on demand could be counted by your ballot counting device.

34. If your proposed ballot counting device is approved in New Hampshire, will your vote counting device be able to count a ballot designed to be counted by the Accuvote ballot counting device?

35. If a ballot is created with your ballot creation software, is your system capable of exporting the XY coordinates of each oval on the ballot associated with a candidate or question Yes/No choice?

36. If your precinct scanner is programmed to count a ballot created outside your system, once programmed to count that ballot is your system capable of exporting the ballot data in XML format for use by our accessible voting system?

37. If your precinct scanner is programmed to count a ballot created outside your system, once programmed to count that ballot, is your system capable of exporting the XY coordinates of each oval on the ballot associated with a candidate or question Yes/No choice?

38. If your answer to these questions on exporting XML or X/Y coordinate data is yes, do your licensing terms allow the export of the referenced data?

39. If yes, is there any additional cost to export that data?

40. If we must use your system to create a ballot to be able to program the precinct device to count that ballot:

a. Can your system accept an upload of XML data as an alternative to keying in the data on the offices, candidates, and questions?

b. Can your system "scrape" or otherwise extract from a PDF the data necessary to program your precinct device to count that ballot?

c. If your system can scrape ballot PDFs, does it need additional data, e.g. XML candidate filing/rotation data from the State's election management system, to create ballots?

41. Describe the removable data storage device(s) used in your system:

a. What is its storage capacity in GB?

b. Is it proprietary or commercial off the shelf?

c. How many separate storage devices are required per election?

d. Is there any circumstance where the memory device will become full during an election (high number of voters casting multipage ballots)? Provide the data and calculation supporting your answer.

42. Does your precinct count device store any records of the ballots scanned on internal storage in the device?

a. If yes, describe the capacity?

b. If yes, describe how the memory is cleared to use the device at a subsequent election?

43. Many New Hampshire towns and cities currently use an Accuvote optical scanner to count ballots and the GEMS election management system software. If your proposed ballot counting device is approved in New Hampshire, is your device compatible, can it be programmed using the output of the GEMS election management system?

Management of Write-in Votes

If your device is capable of printing an image of write-in votes, or marks made in the write in space on the ballot, on a separate election night polling place results tape produced by the precinct counting device:

44. If the name is written in for an office where the voter also filled in an oval associated with a candidate whose name is printed on the ballot:

a. Does the image get printed in the same place on the report as a write-in vote for an office where no oval was filled in?

b. Does the vote tally treat this as an overvote for that office?

c. Using your system, once the name written in is identified as the name of a candidate whose name is printed on the ballot how do election officials at the polling place on election night determine which write-in votes for an office are a belt and suspenders vote, i.e. the voter both filled in the oval for a candidate and wrote his/her name in the write-in line? If your software/hardware for central counting/human adjudication is not part of your precinct count system, assume that software/hardware is not available.

    i. Is it necessary for the officials counting ballots to find the ballot that was the source of the mark/name in the write-in space and then if a change in the vote totals is required, to make that change manually?

45. If a name is written in for an office where the voter did <u>not</u> fill in any ovals for that office is the name of a candidate whose name is printed on the ballot, how does your system aid officials in reducing the total number of write-ins by one vote and adding one vote to the total for the candidate whose name is on the ballot?

46. Can multiple copies of the printed report showing images of write-ins be printed from the precinct count device?

47. Can copies of the report of write in votes be printed from the removable memory device by a desktop or laptop computer connected to a standard printer?

a. Is proprietary software needed?

b. What format, i.e. PDF or proprietary, are the precinct count device reports in (results and write-ins)?

Election Results Reporting

48. Can election results data be downloaded to an Excel spreadsheet?

49. How long does the election results tape (on thermal paper) remain readable before it fades into obscurity?

50. Is there a back-up memory card?

51. Describe how it would be possible, relying on the proposed system, to conduct a risk limiting audit (RLA) in the event RLAs are subsequently required in New Hampshire?

Security, and Cybersecurity

52. Describe the physical security features of your proposed vote counting device system.

53. Describe the cyber security features of your proposed vote counting device solution.

54. Describe what steps your company has taken to ensure that your system cannot be hacked.

55. How would anyone know if your system had been hacked?

56. What intrusion detection capabilities does your system have?

57. What log of activity is available for the device?

58. What data is recorded in the activity log?

59. What type of security features do you have for testing the device before an election, starting up an election, and closing the election?

    a. If usernames and passwords are required, describe the requirements and levels of authority.

60. Has there been any code review that comprehensively sought to detect Trojans in the software of your proposed vote counting device or its corresponding election management software? If so, please describe that review and provide reports.

61. Is there a mechanism to determine whether there are any back doors in the software of the vote counting device? Has this mechanism been exercised on the hardware and software of this proposed device? Can it be demonstrated?

62. What type of audit data is produced by your vote counting device?

63. Provide a copy of the independent testing laboratory certification report on the proposed vote counting device.

64. Identify what states have provided certification to enable sales of the proposed vote counting devices within their state.

65. Does your system meet the Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines 1.1 approved by the U. S. Elections Assistance Commission Standards Board?

66. How will your system meet the proposed new Voluntary Voting System Principles and Guidelines 2.0 published in the Federal Register on February 15, 2019?

67. If your vote counting device is unable to meet the Voluntary Voting System Principles and Guidelines 2.0 and its subsequent Requirements and Test Assertions, predict the costs and effort required to satisfy these new expectations?

    a. Identify which principles/guidelines in 2.0 your device does not meet.

68. What elements of the vote counting device hardware and software are proprietary and what elements are off-the-shelf?

69. Describe the software platform on which your vote counting device is built.

70. Describe how Logic and Accuracy (L&A) Testing is performed.

    a. Include a description of the procedure and time required to prepare and test the device prior to the election? (New Hampshire requires a pre-test during the week before the election.) RSA 656:42

    b. Is the pre-election test process run on the device in election mode or is it run in a "test" mode? Explain.

Transparency

71. Please describe the features of your system that help achieve transparency for voters and election officials.

72. Is the source code available to anyone participating in a public penetration test if one signs up for the test and agrees to (a) the terms to see the code and the technical documents outline the architecture and protocols, and (b) a disclaimer that says no information contained in them can be made public?

73. Can the general public readily obtain information about the testing process?

74. Can the general public perform testing on the components and systems?

Stage of Product Lifecycle

75. Identify the dates and upgrades made in each version upgrade of your proposed vote counting device.

76. Describe what hardware and software can be considered upgradable as new hardware and software becomes available?

77. Describe where your proposed vote counting device is in its useful product lifecycle?

78. Provide the expected useful life of your proposed vote counting device.

79. Describe the company's commitment to supporting the equipment and software of the proposed vote counting device, and keeping it supplied with spare parts.

80. Describe any plans for future enhancements to your proposed vote counting device.

Hardware- Technical

81. Describe how the voter's hand marked ballot is read by the scanner.

82. Can your precinct count device count a preprinted ballot marked using a printer and New Hampshire's Accessible Voting System, one4all? We can provide a sample if necessary.

83. Describe whether or not the voter's ballot from your company's accessible voting system could be read by the ballot counting device. Does your accessible voting system produce a marked paper ballot this can be counted by your precinct ballot counting device?

84. Does your machine read any type of mark on the ballot, whether pencil, black pen, red pen, marker, etc..? Describe any colors or types of marks that your device will not consistently count.

85. Can your device be programmed to count ballots where ranked-choice voting is in use?

86. Can your device be programmed to properly count races where the voter has the right to vote for multiple candidates? For example a six seat state representative district where voters are instructed to vote for no more than 6.

87. Can your device print a ballot on demand?

88. How much does the ballot counting device weigh?

89. How much does the base for the ballot counting device weigh?

90. If there is a separate storage container, how much does the separate storage container weigh? How much does the container with the device and any accessories that are to be stored in the container, weigh?

91. If your system includes a collapsible ballot box, how many ballots can it hold?

Architecture

92. Please describe the software architecture of your vote counting device.

93. Please describe the capability of your device and its software to be upgraded as advances in technology, software, and law require changes or make changes prudent.

94. The US Department of Defense research agency, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), has announced that it has invested about 20 million dollars into re-engineering voting machine hardware, including such things as computer chips and circuits, to make many of the known techniques for penetrating and manipulating the systems impossible. News accounts suggest that the project will be complete and recommendations issued to equipment vendors in about two years. New Hampshire's current ballot counting

device is aging, but still working effectively. What, if any, reasons exist for New Hampshire towns and cities to buy new technology now versus waiting for the next generation that will incorporate DARPA's work?

Backup and Recovery, Battery

95. Describe the backup/recovery features of your proposed vote counting device system.

96. Should the polling place loose power for longer than the device's battery capacity and the device shuts down, then power is restored, will the record of ballots cast up to the moment of power loss be available?

    a. In this situation, can the device be put back into service and ballots cast into a ballot box be fed into the device for counting?

97. Does your precinct scanner have an integral battery backup that will keep the device in operation in the event of loss of power?

    a. If yes, how long will the device run on battery?

98. Can the battery be replaced if it has/will run out of power?

99. Describe how a battery is replaced, including the time required.

100. Assume system failure at the voting site during the day. Which failures can be recovered from, and which failures require alternative procedures to capture prior votes that are now inaccessible? If the failure is catastrophic, the device cannot be restored, can the data in the memory device be read by a laptop or desktop computer?

    a. If yes, what software will read the memory device?

    b. If only proprietary software can read the memory device, what is the cost to purchase that software?

    c. What format are the results or vote totals data stored in?

Ballot Box Capacity/Storage

101. What is the capacity of the ballot box that is integral to the base your device sits on at an election?

102. Describe any available options, e.g. capacity with and without a removable lockable box inside.

103.   Describe the size, setup and storage requirements for your proposed ballot counting device system.

104.   Describe what equipment protection is integral to the included storage container and any external equipment protection that will be recommended.

105.   Describe the physical storage requirements for your proposed vote counting device.

106.   How many devices in their storage containers can be stacked in one pile?

107.   Is power required for storage?

108.   What storage temperature and humidity conditions are required?

109.   What other storage requirements are there?

110.   Does your machine or its base have a slot (separate storage space) for ballots that will need to be hand counted? If yes, describe this storage, including its capacity.

111.   Is the slot to deposit a ballot to be hand counted open and directly accessible during the entire election? If not, what has to be done to put a hand count ballot in this storage area?

112.   If you offer multiple models/sizes of ballot storage boxes, please provide dimensions, how many ballots each can hold, and the price for each?

Company Experience and Capability

113.   Describe your company's history with a detailed description of your election expertise and experience in performing the services required, number of employees, and office locations.

114.   How long has your company been handling ballot counting device machines?

115.   Describe your company's expertise implementing solutions for large polling places containing a single precinct. (Large is defined as greater than or equal to 10,000 registered voters who will vote in one precinct/polling place.) Identify what, if anything, you change in your recommended configuration for a large polling place.

    a. How many ballots/voters can a single precinct ballot counting device handle at an election?

    b. At what number of voters or separate pages of ballots do you recommend that the polling place have two or more ballot counting devices? Currently, some large polling places using the Accuvote device have two or more devices operating to avoid lines of voters waiting to deposit their ballot(s).

116.   Describe in detail the ownership of your company. In the event of any corporate, LLC or similar entity ownership, please identify the individuals who own shares constituting 10% ownership or more in such entities.

    a. In what nation or state is your company incorporated?

117.   How many jurisdictions are currently using the device you are proposing for the State of NH?

118.   Provide a list of current customers, the model of ballot counting device and type of voting system used and its implementation date.

119.   Provide the total number of company employees dedicated solely to the support of election related products and services.

120.   Provide a description of your capacity to deploy your proposed voting system to a New Hampshire town/city within one month of your receipt of an order.

121.   Disclose any parts or services used in/necessary for the proposed device that are obtained from outside of the United States.

122.   Describe the chain of supply, including country of production/modification, for each of your vote counting device's components.

123.   Has the Department of Homeland Security, NIST or an equivalent agency or entity provided a list of acceptable products that could be safely and securely be included in a voting system?

124.   Provide the location, town/city and state, of the manufacturer of your proposed vote counting solution.

125.   Explain your capacity to manufacture and supply replacement/spare parts for your proposed vote counting device.

126.   Explain your philosophy and practice regarding supporting vote counting devices throughout their useful life.

127.   Disclose any actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may arise from the submission of your proposal for evaluation or from being approved by the New Hampshire Ballot Law Commission.

128.   Has your company or any of its principals made political campaign contributions to any candidate who sought or is seeking elective office in New Hampshire or a federal office to be voted on by New Hampshire voters?

129.   Disclose all voting system-related litigation that names your company or its principals as a plaintiff or defendant within the last ten (10) years.

Maintenance, Training, and Support

130.   Describe your role-specific training for local election administration staff.

131.   Provide your detailed training plan for local officials who will operate your ballot counting device, including potential number of dates, number of classes, etc.

      a.   Explain whether this training is included in the purchase price or if not the fee you will charge for this training.

132.   Provide examples of all training materials.

133.   Describe your company's preventative maintenance on your vote counting device.

134.   How do you handle service calls relative to a device being down during an election?

135.   What is your response time to fix a device or bring a replacement?

      a.   On election day.
      b.   At other times.

136.   Are on site, election day, responses included in the maintenance agreement? If not, what is the cost?

137.   How do you handle doing annual service on your devices? Is that included in the service agreement?

Warranty and Post Warranty

138.   Describe all hardware and software warranty and post warranty maintenance and support options available for your proposed vote counting device solution.

139.   Define all consumables and proposed timeline for replacement.

140.   List any proprietary hardware and consumables that must be purchased specifically from the vendor.

141.   Describe the processes for returning, replacing, or repairing failed components of the proposed vote counting device.

Cost

142.   What is the cost of your precinct count device, and what accessories are included in that price?

a. Does it include a maintenance agreement and if so for how long and what does it entail?

143. If you do not provide a single price in all circumstances, please explain your pricing program.

a. Is there a discount depending on number of machines purchased?

144. For maintenance after the maintenance agreement included in the purchase price expires, what is the cost per year of your maintenance agreements per machine?

145. Is there a maintenance agreement discount for multiple machines?

146. What is included in the maintenance agreement?

147. What other supplies will be required for your machine and approximate costs? (i.e. thermal paper, batteries, how many seals, etc..)

148. Are there are any additional costs for using and maintaining your device that have not been addressed by these questions and your answers?

149. What is the total cost of your recommended "complete" package (computer, scanner, ballot box, memory cards/flash cards/sticks) plus maintenance costs (programming, service calls, training) for three years starting at the date of delivery?

150. What are other non-essential accessories that can be purchased, i.e. collapsible ballot box, flash drives, memory cards? What does each cost?

Central Count – Audit

The New Hampshire Legislature is considering legislation that would require the Secretary of State to conduct a study of the use of ballot counting devices in a post-election audit. A premise of the test is that a ballot counting device would be used for the audit that is from a different manufacturer and vendor of any device used for the election night ballot count.

The legislation contemplates that ballots cast in a prior election would be used for this test. The process to be used likely will include identifying those ballots that are marked in a manner that require human adjudication. To the extent the results from your device show a different count from the election night count or from hand re-count results, it will be important to report how many ballots were marked in a manner that the ballot counting device used at the election should have reported a different result from that produced by the audit device count. This would include ballots where the ballot marking instructions were not followed, such as the oval left blank but the selected candidate's name is circled. The audit will need to distinguish ballots where a voter has marked the oval beside the printed name of a candidate and then written in the name of the same candidate on the write-in line for that office. The audit will need to distinguish ballots where a voter did not mark the oval beside the printed name of a candidate, but wrote that candidate's name in as a write-in.

We are particularly interested in learning how your central count/audit products will make such an audit count more effective and efficient. Were such audits to be required at future elections, we anticipate that the law will require the use of a ballot counting device from a vendor/manufacturer other than the vendor/manufacturer who provided the precinct ballot counting device used on election day.

New Hampshire law requires complex ballot column rotation on general election ballots. (RSA 653:1 and RSA Chapter 656) At Primaries, New Hampshire law requires name rotation when multiple candidates seek a party's nomination for the same office. Therefore, this post-election process will require that each distinct ballot to be tested be programmed in the ballot counting device. For example, if the test were of votes for the office of Governor at a general election on ballots from several polling places (precincts), a given candidates name could be in one of three different positions, depending on the column position rotation position used in a given precinct.

151. Please address the practicality of using your central count products in this circumstance.

The following questions pertain to your software and hardware that is suitable for a **central count/audit.**

152. Is your central count system capable of being programed to count a ballot created by:
   a. A different vendor's system?
      i. If yes, but restricted to particular vendors, please list which vendor's system ballot your central count system can be programmed to count.
   b. The NH election management system (PCC product)?
   c. Microsoft Word?
   d. Adobe In-design?
   e. Any ballot in PDF form?

153. If the answer is "yes" in any of the circumstances listed, how is your central count system programmed for that ballot?

154. Does programming your central count device use data and oval position locations extracted from a pre-printed paper ballot?

155. Does programming require uploading XML or XY coordinate information?
   a. If yes, please provide information on the format and data specifications for the file to be uploaded.

156. Can NH acquire the software/hardware required to program your central count device?
   a. If yes, provide pricing information.
   b. If you program a ballot on a fee basis, provide pricing information.
   c. If the fee structure is other than a per ballot programming fee, for example if you use the number of registered voters as part of the fee determination, please explain how you would price programming a ballot in New Hampshire's central count audit circumstance.

i. One precinct's ballot might be voted on by 200 voters, the next by 12,000 voters. We expect the effort required to program both ballots would be the same.

157.   Please provide data the rate at which your central count device scans ballots?
    a. Assuming one sided 14-inch ballot, with over vote voter feedback turned off, how long would your device require to scan 1000 ballots, if they are fed in as fast as possible?
    b. Assuming one sided 18-inch ballot, with over vote voter feedback turned off, how long would your device require to scan 1000 ballots, if they are fed in as fast as possible?

158.   If you have a central software package, what does that package contain and what is the cost?

159.   Is the central count device only used at a central location or can it be used at polling place?

160.   If the central count software can be used at a polling location for human adjudication of ballots, what would be necessary to use it, i.e. laptop, printer, etc. ?

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. **To Vote.** Completely fill in the oval ● to the right of your choice. For each office vote for not more than the number of candidates stated in the sentence: "Vote for not more than ____." If you vote for more than the stated number of candidates, your vote for that office will not be counted.

2. **To Vote by Write-In.** To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write in the name of the person in the "write-in" space. Completely fill in the oval ● to the right of your choice.

Offices	Democratic Candidates	Libertarian and Other Candidates	Republican Candidates	Write-in Candidates
For **Governor** Vote for not more than 1	Molly Kelly ○	Jilletta Jarvis ○	Chris Sununu ○	○ Governor
For **Representative in Congress** Vote for not more than 1	Ann McLane Kuster ○	Justin O'Donnell ○	Steven Negron ○	○ Representative in Congress
For **Executive Councilor** Vote for not more than 1	Joe Pace ○	James D. Jarvis ○	Russell Prescott ○	○ Executive Council
For **State Senator** Vote for not more than 1	Richard J. O'Shaughnessy ○	Mitch Dyer ○	Chuck Morse ○	○ State Senator
For **State Representatives** Vote for not more than 9	Janet Breslin ○ Gregory F. Davis ○ David Hickernell ○ Cam Iannalfo ○ Sean McBride Lewis ○ Kelly Moss ○ Jacqueline Muollo ○ George D. Perry ○ Bonnie L. Wright ○		Daryl A. Abbas ○ Arthur Barnes ○ Ed DeClercq ○ Fred Doucette ○ Bob Elliott ○ Betty Gay ○ John Janigian ○ Everett P. McBride, Jr. ○ John Sytek ○	○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives ○ State Representatives
For **Sheriff** Vote for not more than 1			Chuck Massahos ○	○ Sheriff
For **County Attorney** Vote for not more than 1			Patricia Conway ○	○ County Attorney
For **County Treasurer** Vote for not more than 1	David E. Ahearn ○		Scott Priestley ○	○ County Treasurer
For **Register of Deeds** Vote for not more than 1	Bill Tappan ○		Cathy Stacey ○	○ Register of Deeds
For **Register of Probate** Vote for not more than 1	Bob Davidson ○	Griffin Poutre ○	Ray Tweedie ○	○ Register of Probate
For **County Commissioner** Vote for not more than 1	Beth Roth ○		Thomas N. Tombarello ○	○ County Commissioner

2018 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT QUESTIONS
Constitutional Amendments Proposed by the 2018 General Court

1. "Are you in favor of amending article 8 of the first part of the constitution to read as follows:
[Art.] 8. [Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know.] All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive. To that end, the public's right of access to governmental proceedings and records shall not be unreasonably restricted. The public also has a right to an orderly, lawful, and accountable government. Therefore, any individual taxpayer eligible to vote in the State, shall have standing to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State or political subdivision in which the taxpayer resides has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, ordinance, or constitutional provision. In such a case, the taxpayer shall not have to demonstrate that his or her personal rights were impaired or prejudiced beyond his or her status as a taxpayer. However, this right shall not apply when the challenged governmental action is the subject of a judicial or administrative decision from which there is a right of appeal by statute or otherwise by the parties to that proceeding."
(Passed by the N.H. House 309 Yes 9 No; Passed by State Senate 22 Yes 2 No) CACR 15   Yes ○   No ○

2. "Are you in favor of amending the first part of the constitution by inserting after article 2-a a new article to read as follows:
[Art.] 2-b. [Right to Privacy.] An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent."
(Passed by the N.H. House 235 Yes 96 No; Passed by State Senate 15 Yes 9 No) CACR 16   Yes ○   No ○

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION
LONDONDERRY, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Mary Wing Soares

MARCH 12, 2019

SCHOOL DISTRICT CLERK

ARTICLES CONTINUED

Article 9 - Special Article - District Technology Network Infrastructure Capital Reserve Fund

Shall the Londonderry School District vote under RSA 35:1 to create a district wide information network infrastructure capital reserve fund to be known as the "District Technology Network Infrastructure Fund" for the purpose of funding equipment and services for the school district network infrastructure such as, but not limited to, routers, wiring, switches, access points, wireless network improvements or any other equipment software or service that is necessary for the maintenance, improvement, performance or management of the district's network and name the school board as agents to expend the fund; and further raise and appropriate the sum of $275,000 to be placed in this fund; and further name the School Board agents to expend?

YES ◯
NO ◯

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.07)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	4	1	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

Article 10 - Special Article (Special Education Trust Fund)

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to raise and appropriate up to the sum of $50,000 to be placed in the Special Education Trust Fund established at the March 2002 Annual School District Meeting? This sum to come from the June 30, 2019 fund balance available for transfer on July 1, 2019

YES ◯
NO ◯

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.01)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

Article 11 - Security Systems Technician Position

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to raise and appropriate up to the sum of $92,000 to fund a new position, "Security Systems Technician"? If approved, said position shall be incorporated in the ongoing operations of the School District and added to default budgets in accordance with State Statute.

YES ◯
NO ◯

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.02)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

Article 12 - Transfer of Former SAU Offices to Town of Londonderry

Shall the Londonderry School District vote to rescind the authority granted by the 1984 School District meeting to locate the School Administrative Offices adjacent to Town Hall and to release to the Town the former administrative offices located on Town land and the school's right to locate an administrative office on the Town's property in the future; and further to authorize the School Board to take any other action necessary to carry out this vote?

YES ◯
NO ◯

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.00)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING THIS BALLOT

SAMPLE BALLOT

ARTICLES CONTINUED

Article 4 - Londonderry Association of Allied Health Professionals [LAAHP] Bargaining Agreement

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to approve the cost items included in the collective bargaining agreement reached between the Londonderry School District and the Londonderry Association of Allied Health Professionals (LAAHP), and further to raise and appropriate the sum of $98,416 for the 2019-2020 fiscal year, such sum representing the additional cost items attributable to the increase in salaries and benefits over those paid, at current staffing levels, in the prior fiscal year? The agreement calls for the following increases in salaries and benefits at current staffing levels over those paid in the prior fiscal year:

YES ○
NO ○

Fiscal Year	Estimated Cost	(Estimated Tax Impact)
FY 2019-2020	$98,416	$0.02
FY 2020-2021	$104,959	$0.03
FY 2021-2022	$97,003	$0.02

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

Article 5 - Authorization for Special Meeting on Cost Items

Shall the Londonderry School District, if Article 3, or 4 is defeated, authorize the governing body to call one special meeting at its option to address Article 3 or 4 cost items only?

YES ○
NO ○

Article 6 - School Lunch Program and Federal Fund Projects

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to accept and receive federal grants and other such funds to support the school lunch program and federal projects; further to raise and appropriate such funds in a special revenue fund as follows: school lunch program, $1,592,000; and federal projects, $1,440,500; for an estimated total appropriation of $3,032,500 such funds to be self-supporting through local, state or federal revenue sources?

YES ○
NO ○

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.00)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

Article 7 - Special Article (School Buildings Maintenance Expendable Trust Fund)

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to raise and appropriate the sum of $625,000 to be placed in the School Buildings Maintenance Expendable Trust Fund established at the March 1995 School District Meeting for major one-time capital costs for district facilities including roofs, paving, boilers and small renovation projects?

YES ○
NO ○

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.16)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

Article 8 - Special Article – Equipment Capital Reserve Fund

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to raise and appropriate up to the sum of $150,000 to be placed in the School District Equipment Capital Reserve Fund established in 2013 to provide funds to procure essential small equipment for classrooms, offices, technology, and buildings and grounds? This sum to come from the June 30, 2019 fund balance available for transfer on July 1, 2019.

YES ○
NO ○

(Estimated Tax Impact $0.04)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

GO TO NEXT BALLOT AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION
LONDONDERRY, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 12, 2019

Mary Wing Soares
SCHOOL DISTRICT CLERK

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS
A. TO VOTE, completely fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of your choice(s) like this: ●
B. Follow directions as to the number of candidates to be marked for each office.
C. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write the candidate's name on the line provided and completely fill in the OVAL.

FOR SCHOOL BOARD
three (3) years — Vote for not more than ONE

JENN GANEM ○
BOB SLATER ○
_____ ○
(Write-in)

FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT TREASURER
three (3) years — Vote for not more than ONE

JOHN CONLEY ○
_____ ○
(Write-in)

FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT CLERK
three (3) years — Vote for not more than ONE

MARY WING SOARES ○
_____ ○
(Write-in)

FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT MODERATOR
three (3) years — Vote for not more than ONE

CINDI RICE CONLEY ○
_____ ○
(Write-in)

ARTICLES

Article 2   General Fund - Operating Budget

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to raise and appropriate as an operating budget for the fiscal year 2019-2020, not including appropriations by special warrant articles, or separate warrant articles, one of the following amounts for the purposes set forth in the budget posted with the warrant? **Vote for only one:**

A. $74,316,200 (School Board Budget as amended)   A ○

(Estimated Tax Impact $13.26)

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	4	1	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	4	2	0

OR

B. $73,857,203 (Default Budget)   B ○

(Estimated Tax Impact $13.15)

NOTE: Warrant Article 2 (Operating Budget) does not include appropriations proposed under any other article in this warrant.

Article 3   Londonderry Education Association [LEA] Bargaining Agreement

Shall the voters of the Londonderry School District vote to approve the cost items included in the collective bargaining agreement reached between the Londonderry School District and the Londonderry Education Association (LEA), and further to raise and appropriate the sum of $1,327,570 for the 2019-2020 fiscal year, such sum representing the additional cost items attributable to the increase in salaries and benefits over those paid, at current staffing levels, in the prior fiscal year? The agreement calls for the following increases in salaries and benefits at current staffing levels over those paid in the prior fiscal year:

Fiscal Year	Estimated Cost	(Estimated Tax Impact)	
FY 2019-2020	$1,327,570	$0.33	YES ○
FY 2020-2021	$1,316,122	$0.33	NO ○
FY 2021-2022	$1,364,557	$0.34	

	Yes	No	Abstained
Voted by the School Board:	5	0	0
Voted by the Budget Committee:	6	0	0

TURN BALLOT OVER AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION
LONDONDERRY, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 12, 2019

Shawn Funell
TOWN CLERK

ARTICLES CONTINUED

ARTICLE NO. 27: **[APPROVE KENO GAMES]**

Shall the voters of the Town of Londonderry vote to allow the operation of keno games within the Town? (BY CITIZENS PETITION)

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

YES ⬭
NO ⬭

Non-monetary item. The Town Council makes no recommendation.; the Budget Committee by a vote of 5-0 with one abstention recommends a NO vote.

ARTICLE NO. 28: **[TRANSACTION OF OTHER BUSINESS]**

To transact any other business that may legally come before this meeting. No business enacted under this article shall have any binding effect upon the Town.

YES ⬭
NO ⬭

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING THIS BALLOT

SAMPLE BALLOT

ARTICLES CONTINUED

ARTICLE NO. 22: *[NUTFIELD 300TH ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATION]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of **TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($25,000)** for the purpose of supporting the Nutfield 300th Anniversary Celebration and further to authorize the use of **TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($25,000)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation, and to recommend that $5,000 of the $25,000 goes to the Londonderry Historical Society.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 4-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 and one abstention recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 23: *[ASSESSING SOFTWARE]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of **SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($60,000)** for the purpose of purchasing software, including licensing and implementation costs, for use by the Assessing Department and further to authorize the use of **SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($60,000)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 24: *[PHASES 5 & 6 OF THE RAIL TRAIL]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of **ONE MILLION SIX HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($1,625,000)** for the purpose of completing phases 5 and 6 of the rail trail and further to authorize the use of **SIX HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ($600,000)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation. The Londonderry Trailways Committee will provide funding in the amount of $225,000 for the project, and the State of NH will provide a grant in the amount of $800,000 for the project.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 25: *[SPEED LIMIT DECREASE ON ROUTE 102]*

Shall the Town vote to provide a non-binding recommendation to the Town Council to petition the NH Department of Transportation to decrease the speed limit, from 55 MPH to 45 MPH, on that portion of New Hampshire Route 102 which runs from Mammoth Road to the Town's borderline with Hudson, New Hampshire?

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 26: *[TOWN GRANGE REPAIRS]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($30,000)** to complete the repairs to the roof and infrastructure of the historic Londonderry Town Grange and further authorize the use of **THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($30,000)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation. **(BY CITIZENS PETITION)**

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 4-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 7-0 recommends a YES vote.

GO TO NEXT BALLOT AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

· OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION
LONDONDERRY, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 12, 2019

Shaun Farrell
TOWN CLERK

ARTICLES CONTINUED

ARTICLE NO. 18:   [IMPROVEMENTS TO FORMER SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate THREE HUNDRED SEVENTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($378,500) for the purpose of demolition and rebuilding an addition to town hall and further to authorize the use of THREE HUNDRED SEVENTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($378,500) from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation. Should Article 17 above rescinding the 1984 Warrant Article not pass, the funds authorized to be raised and appropriated pursuant to this Article 18, if passed, shall not be removed from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance. If article 17 is defeated this article shall be null and void.

YES ◯
NO ◯

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 4-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 7-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 19:   [CONSERVATION LAND RANGER/STEWARD]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($75,000) for the purpose of adding a part-time Conservation Land Ranger/Steward to the Police Department's staff. Funding for the position will be supported 100% by the Conservation Fund in FY 20, and 50% in FY 21. The general fund will support the position 50% in FY 21 and 100% in FY 22 and succeeding years.

YES ◯
NO ◯

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20, a tax rate impact of $0.009 in FY 2021, and a tax rate impact of $0.019 in FY 2022, based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 20:   [POLICE DEPARTMENT - ADD SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate ONE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED NINETEEN DOLLARS ($120,719) for the purpose of adding a school resource officer to the Police Department's staff.

YES ◯
NO ◯

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $120,719 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.030 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 4-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 7-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 21:   [POLICE DETAILS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND - PURCHASE 2 VANS]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate SIXTY-FOUR THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-SIX DOLLARS ($64,356) for the purpose of purchasing two replacement vans for use by the Police Department's animal control and special operations divisions and further to authorize the use of SIXTY-FOUR THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-SIX DOLLARS ($64,356) from the Police Details Special Revenue fund towards this appropriation.

YES ◯
NO ◯

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

TURN BALLOT OVER AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

ARTICLES CONTINUED

ARTICLE NO. 13: *[CEMETERY CAPITAL RESERVE FUND]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS ($10,000)** to be placed in the Cemetery capital reserve fund and further to authorize the use of **TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS ($10,000)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.　　　　　　　　　　　　**YES** ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)　　　　**NO** ○

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a <u>YES</u> *vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a* <u>YES</u> *vote.*

ARTICLE NO. 14: *[FIRE DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT CAPITAL RESERVE FUND]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($75,000)** to be placed in the fire equipment capital reserve fund and further to authorize the use of **THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($37,500)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this　**YES** ○ appropriation. This appropriation includes funding for the purchase of, and associated training for, 20 defibrillators for use in mobile units for the Public Works and Police Departments at an estimated cost of $25,000.　　**NO** ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $37,500 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.009 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a <u>YES</u> *vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a* <u>YES</u> *vote.*

ARTICLE NO. 15: *[POLICE DEPARTMENT GENERATOR]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($175,000)** for the purpose of purchasing an emergency back-up generator for the Police Department and　**YES** ○ further to authorize the use of **ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($175,000)** from the　**NO** ○ June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a <u>YES</u> *vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a* <u>YES</u> *vote.*

ARTICLE NO. 16: *[TOWN COMMON DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS]*

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($235,000)** for the purpose of making drainage improvements to the Town Common and further to authorize the　**YES** ○ use of **TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($235,000)** from the June 30 Unassigned Fund　**NO** ○ Balance towards this appropriation.

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a <u>YES</u> *vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a* <u>YES</u> *vote.*

ARTICLE NO. 17: *[RESCIND 1984 WARRANT ARTICLE REGARDING SCHOOL DISTRICT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE]*

YES ○

To see if the Town will vote to rescind the authority granted at the 1984 Town and School District meetings for　**NO** ○ the school district administrative office to be located on Lot 9-45, which is owned by the Town of Londonderry and upon which the Town offices are located; and further to authorize the Town Council to take any other action necessary to carry out this vote.

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a <u>YES</u> *vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a* <u>YES</u> *vote.*

GO TO NEXT BALLOT AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION
LONDONDERRY, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 12, 2019

Shawn Farwell
TOWN CLERK

ARTICLES CONTINUED

ARTICLE NO. 8:   [ROADWAY MAINTENANCE EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of SIX HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($650,000) to be placed in the Roadway Maintenance Expendable Trust Fund and further to authorize the use of ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($162,500) from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $487,500 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.121 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 9:   [GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS CAPITAL RESERVE FUND]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate TWENTY-EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS ($28,000) to be placed in the geographic information systems capital reserve fund and further to authorize the use of SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS ($7,000) from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $21,000 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.005 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 10:   [DPW HEAVY EQUIPMENT LEASES]

To see if the Town will vote to authorize the town manager to enter into a 10 year lease agreement in the amount of FOUR HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($481,000) for the purpose of leasing 2 International 6 Wheelers and a Morbark Chipper, and to raise and appropriate the sum of FORTY-EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FORTY-TWO ($48,942) for the first year's payment for that purpose. This lease agreement contains an escape clause. (Majority vote required)

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $48,942 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.012 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 11:   [EXPENDABLE MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($180,000) to be placed in the Town's Expendable Maintenance Trust Fund and further to authorize the use of FORTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($45,000) from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $135,000 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.034 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 12:   [PILLSBURY CEMETERY EXPANSION CAPITAL RESERVE FUND]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ($100,000) to be placed in the Pillsbury Cemetery Expansion capital reserve fund and further to authorize the use of TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS ($25,000) from the June 30 Unassigned Fund Balance towards this appropriation.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $75,000 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.019 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

TURN BALLOT OVER AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

ARTICLE NO 3:   [FISCAL YEAR 2020 SUPPLEMENTAL OPERATING BUDGET]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY-TWO THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY-TWO DOLLARS ($172,952)** for the purpose of covering the increased costs of providing the same level of services as FY 2019 for the following DPW programs:

Description	FY 2020	Contract Expiration	Annual Price Escalation
Road Maintenance	-$ 79,841	N/A	N/A
Waste Collection	-$ 50,724	6/30/21 and two option years	3%
Recycling Collection	-$ 42,387	6/30/21 and two option years	3%
Total Supp. Budget	-$ 172,952		

Upon approval of this article the multi-year contracts noted above, including cost escalation provisions, will be included in future default level budgets.

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $172,952 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.043 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 4-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 7-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 4:   [POLICE OUTSIDE DETAIL SPECIAL REVENUE FUND]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **FIVE HUNDRED THIRTY-TWO THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SIXTY-EIGHT DOLLARS ($532,668)** for the purpose of covering Police Outside Details with said funds to come from the Police Outside Detail Special Revenue Fund.

YES ○
NO ○

(These services are funded through user fees and require no property tax support.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 5:   [DISSOLVE THE SEWER SPECIAL REVENUE FUND]

Shall we rescind the provisions of RSA 31:95-c to restrict revenues received from sewer user fees, sewer connection fees, and sewer access fees to expenditures for the purpose of servicing and maintaining the town's sewer infrastructure? The balance of said funds to be transferred to the Town's General Fund on June 30, 2019 and, subsequently said funds will be made available to the Sewer Enterprise Fund. (2/3 vote required).

YES ○
NO ○

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise $0.00 in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of $0.00 in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 6:   [SEWER FUND]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **TWO MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED SIXTEEN THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-FOUR DOLLARS ($2,816,354)** for defraying the cost of construction, payment of the interest on any debt incurred, management, maintenance, operation and repair of constructed sewer systems. Such fund shall be allowed to accumulate from year to year, shall not be commingled with town tax revenues, and shall not be deemed part of the municipality's general fund accumulated surplus, all in accordance with RSA 149-I. If article 5 is approved this article shall become null and void.

YES ○
NO ○

(These services are funded through user fees and require no property tax support.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

ARTICLE NO. 7:   [SEWER FUND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS]

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate **TWO HUNDRED TWENTY-EIGHT THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SEVEN DOLLARS ($228,147)** for defraying the cost of debt service and administrative costs of operating the sewer department in accordance with RSA 149-I. If article 5 is approved this article shall become null and void.

(These services are funded through user fees and require no property tax support.)

YES ○
NO ○

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

GO TO NEXT BALLOT AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION
LONDONDERRY, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 12, 2019

Shawn Farwell
TOWN CLERK

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

A. TO VOTE, completely fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of your choice(s) like this: ⬤

B. Follow directions as to the number of candidates to be marked for each office.

C. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write the candidate's name on the line provided and completely fill in the OVAL.

TOWN COUNCIL

Three Year Term — Vote for not more than Two

Candidate	
DEB PAUL	◯
PAUL T. SKUDLAREK	◯
TOM DOLAN	◯
JOHN FARRELL	◯
	◯
(Write-in)	◯
(Write-in)	

BUDGET COMMITTEE

Three Year Term — Vote for not more than Two

Candidate	
CHAD C. FRANZ	◯
JOEL OLSON	◯
CHRISTINE A. PATTON	◯
	◯
(Write-in)	◯
(Write-in)	

BUDGET COMMITTEE

One Year Term — Vote for not more than One

Candidate	
STEVE R. BREAULT	◯
JONATHAN D. KIPP	◯
	◯
(Write-in)	

TOWN TREASURER

Three Year Term — Vote for not more than One

Candidate	
KATHY WAGNER	◯
	◯
(Write-in)	

LEACH LIBRARY TRUSTEES

Three Year Term — Vote for not more than Three

Candidate	
SANDY J. GEISLER	◯
MACK G. LEATHURBY	◯
VICKI L. STACHOWSKE	◯
ROBERT J. COLLINS	◯
JOHN A. CURRAN	◯
	◯
(Write-in)	◯
(Write-in)	◯
(Write-in)	

TRUSTEE OF TRUST FUNDS

Three Year Term — Vote for not more than One

Candidate	
JOHN E. McLAUGHLIN	◯
	◯
(Write-in)	

ARTICLES

ARTICLE NO. 2: *[FISCAL YEAR 2020 TOWN OPERATING BUDGET]*

Shall the Town raise and appropriate as an operating budget, not including appropriations by special warrant articles and other appropriations voted separately, the amounts set forth on the budget posted with the warrant or as amended by vote of the first session, for the purposes set forth therein, totaling **$33,858,810**? Should this article be defeated, the default budget shall be **$33,865,222**, which is the same as last year, with certain adjustments required by previous action of the Town or by law; or the governing body may hold one special meeting, in accordance with RSA 40:13, X and XVI, to take up the issue of a revised operating budget only.

YES ◯
NO ◯

(If passed, this article will require the Town to raise **$19,337,689** in property taxes, resulting in a tax rate impact of **$4.810** in FY 20 based upon projected assessed values.)

The Town Council by a vote of 5-0 recommends a YES vote; the Budget Committee by a vote of 6-0 recommends a YES vote.

TURN BALLOT OVER AND CONTINUE VOTING

ABSENTEE
OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR
DOVER WARD 4
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To Vote. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice. For each office vote for not more than the number of candidates stated in the sentence: "Vote for not more than ____." If you vote for more than the stated number of candidates, your vote for that office will not be counted.

SAMPLE

2. To Vote by Write-in. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write in the name of the person in the "write-in" space. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice.

Offices	Republican Candidates	Democratic Candidates	Libertarian and Other Candidates	Write-in Candidates
For **Governor** Vote for not more than 1	Chris Sununu ◯	Molly Kelly ◯	Jilletta Jarvis ◯	◯ Governor
For **Representative in Congress** Vote for not more than 1	Eddie Edwards ◯	Chris Pappas ◯	Dan Belforti ◯	◯ Representative in Congress
For **Executive Councilor** Vote for not more than 1	James S. Beard ◯	Andru Volinsky ◯		◯ Executive Council
For **State Senator** Vote for not more than 1		David H. Watters ◯		◯ State Senator
For **State Representative Strafford District 16** Vote for not more than 1		Sherry Frost ◯		◯ State Representative Strafford District 16
For **State Representative Strafford District 20** Vote for not more than 1		Thomas Southworth ◯		◯ State Representative Strafford District 20
For **Sheriff** Vote for not more than 1	Anthony Macaione, Jr. ◯	David G. Dubois ◯		◯ Sheriff
For **County Attorney** Vote for not more than 1	Thomas P. Velardi ◯	Thomas P. Velardi ◯		◯ County Attorney
For **County Treasurer** Vote for not more than 1	Periklis Karoutas ◯	Pamela J. Arnold ◯		◯ County Treasurer
For **Register of Deeds** Vote for not more than 1	Catherine A. Berube ◯	Catherine A. Berube ◯		◯ Register of Deeds
For **Register of Probate** Vote for not more than 1	Nancy Sirois ◯	Cynthia Page Sweeney ◯		◯ Register of Probate
For **County Commissioners** Vote for not more than 3	Doug Lachance ◯ George Maglaras ◯ Matt Mayberry ◯	George Maglaras ◯ Deanna S. Rollo ◯ Robert J. Watson ◯		◯ County Commissioners ◯ County Commissioners ◯ County Commissioners

2018 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT QUESTIONS

Constitutional Amendments Proposed by the 2018 General Court

1. "Are you in favor of amending article 8 of the first part of the constitution to read as follows:
[Art.] 8. [Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know.] All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive. To that end, the public's right of access to governmental proceedings and records shall not be unreasonably restricted. The public also has a right to an orderly, lawful, and accountable government. Therefore, any individual taxpayer eligible to vote in the State, shall have standing to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State or political subdivision in which the taxpayer resides has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, ordinance, or constitutional provision. In such a case, the taxpayer shall not have to demonstrate that his or her personal rights were impaired or prejudiced beyond his or her status as a taxpayer. However, this right shall not apply when the challenged governmental action is the subject of a judicial or administrative decision from which there is a right of appeal by statute or otherwise by the parties to that proceeding."
(Passed by the N.H. House 309 Yes 9 No; Passed by State Senate 22 Yes 2 No) CACR 15   Yes ◯   No ◯

2. "Are you in favor of amending the first part of the constitution by inserting after article 2-a a new article to read as follows:
[Art.] 2-b. [Right to Privacy.] An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural

ABSENTEE
OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR
CLAREMONT WARD 2
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To Vote. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice. For each office vote for not more than the number of candidates stated in the sentence: "Vote for not more than ____." If you vote for more than the stated number of candidates, your vote for that office will not be counted.

SAMPLE

2. To Vote by Write-In. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write in the name of the person in the "write-in" space. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice.

Offices	Democratic Candidates	Libertarian and Other Candidates	Republican Candidates	Write-in Candidates
For **Governor** Vote for not more than 1	Molly Kelly ◯	Jilletta Jarvis ◯	Chris Sununu ◯	◯ Governor
For **Representative in Congress** Vote for not more than 1	Ann McLane Kuster ◯	Justin O'Donnell ◯	Steven Negron ◯	◯ Representative in Congress
For **Executive Councilor** Vote for not more than 1	Michael J. Cryans ◯	Tobin Menard ◯	Joseph D. Kenney ◯	◯ Executive Council
For **State Senator** Vote for not more than 1	Martha Hennessey ◯		Patrick Lozito ◯	◯ State Senator
For **State Representative Sullivan District 4** Vote for not more than 1	Gary Merchant ◯		John J. O'Connor ◯	◯ State Representative Sullivan District 4
For **State Representative Sullivan District 10** Vote for not more than 1	John R. Cloutier ◯		Conlin Smith ◯	◯ State Representative Sullivan District 10
For **Sheriff** Vote for not more than 1	John P. Simonds ◯		John P. Simonds ◯	◯ Sheriff
For **County Attorney** Vote for not more than 1	Marc Hathaway ◯		Marc Hathaway ◯	◯ County Attorney
For **County Treasurer** Vote for not more than 1			Michael Sanderson ◯	◯ County Treasurer
For **Register of Deeds** Vote for not more than 1			Janet Gibson ◯	◯ Register of Deeds
For **Register of Probate** Vote for not more than 1	Raymond Gagnon ◯		Timothy O'Hearne ◯	◯ Register of Probate
For **County Commissioner, 1st District** Vote for not more than 1			Jeff Barrette ◯	◯ County Commissioner
For **County Commissioner, 3rd District** Vote for not more than 1			George Hebert ◯	◯ County Commissioner

2018 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT QUESTIONS
Constitutional Amendments Proposed by the 2018 General Court

1. "Are you in favor of amending article 8 of the first part of the constitution to read as follows:
[Art.] 8. [Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know.] All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive. To that end, the public's right of access to governmental proceedings and records shall not be unreasonably restricted. The public also has a right to an orderly, lawful, and accountable government. Therefore, any individual taxpayer eligible to vote in the State, shall have standing to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State or political subdivision in which the taxpayer resides has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, ordinance, or constitutional provision. In such a case, the taxpayer shall not have to demonstrate that his or her personal rights were impaired or prejudiced beyond his or her status as a taxpayer. However, this right shall not apply when the challenged governmental action is the subject of a judicial or administrative decision from which there is a right of appeal by statute or otherwise by the parties to that proceeding."
(Passed by the N.H. House 309 Yes 9 No; Passed by State Senate 22 Yes 2 No) CACR 15   Yes ◯   No ◯

2. "Are you in favor of amending the first part of the constitution by inserting after article 2-a a new article to read as follows:

ABSENTEE
OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR
ASHLAND
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To Vote. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice. For each office vote for not more than the number of candidates stated in the sentence: "Vote for not more than ___." If you vote for more than the stated number of candidates, your vote for that office will not be counted.

2. To Vote by Write-In. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write in the name of the person in the "write-in" space. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice.

SAMPLE

Offices	Democratic Candidates	Libertarian and Other Candidates	Republican Candidates	Write-in Candidates
For **Governor** Vote for not more than 1	Molly Kelly ◯	Jilletta Jarvis ◯	Chris Sununu ◯	◯ Governor
For **Representative in Congress** Vote for not more than 1	Ann McLane Kuster ◯	Justin O'Donnell ◯	Steven Negron ◯	◯ Representative in Congress
For **Executive Councilor** Vote for not more than 1	Michael J. Cryans ◯	Tobin Menard ◯	Joseph D. Kenney ◯	◯ Executive Council
For **State Senator** Vote for not more than 1	Bill Bolton ◯		Bob Giuda ◯	◯ State Senator
For **State Representatives Grafton District 9** Vote for not more than 2	Catherine Mulholland ◯ Tejasinha Sivalingam ◯	John Babiarz ◯ Rosalie T. Babiarz ◯	Ned Gordon ◯ Vincent Paul Migliore ◯	◯ State Representatives Grafton District 9 ◯ State Representatives Grafton District 9
For **State Representative Grafton District 17** Vote for not more than 1	Joshua Adjutant ◯		Stephen Darrow ◯	◯ State Representative Grafton District 17
For **Sheriff** Vote for not more than 1	Jeff Stiegler ◯		Douglas R. Dutile ◯	◯ Sheriff
For **County Attorney** Vote for not more than 1	Marcie Hornick ◯		Marcie Hornick ◯	◯ County Attorney
For **County Treasurer** Vote for not more than 1	Karen Liot Hill ◯			◯ County Treasurer
For **Register of Deeds** Vote for not more than 1	Kelley Jean Monahan ◯		Brad Bailey ◯	◯ Register of Deeds
For **Register of Probate** Vote for not more than 1	Rebecca Brown ◯		Paul Mirski ◯	◯ Register of Probate
For **County Commissioner** Vote for not more than 1	Marcia Morris ◯		Omer C. Ahern, Jr. ◯	◯ County Commissioner

2018 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT QUESTIONS
Constitutional Amendments Proposed by the 2018 General Court

1. "Are you in favor of amending article 8 of the first part of the constitution to read as follows:

[Art.] 8. [Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know.] All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive. To that end, the public's right of access to governmental proceedings and records shall not be unreasonably restricted. The public also has a right to an orderly, lawful, and accountable government. Therefore, any individual taxpayer eligible to vote in the State, shall have standing to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State or political subdivision in which the taxpayer resides has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, ordinance, or constitutional provision. In such a case, the taxpayer shall not have to demonstrate that his or her personal rights were impaired or prejudiced beyond his or her status as a taxpayer. However, this right shall not apply when the challenged governmental action is the subject of a judicial or administrative decision from which there is a right of appeal by statute or otherwise by the parties to that proceeding."

(Passed by the N.H. House 309 Yes 9 No; Passed by State Senate 22 Yes 2 No) CACR 15   **Yes** ◯   **No** ◯

2. "Are you in favor of amending the first part of the constitution by inserting after article 2-a a new article to read as follows:

ABSENTEE
OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR
EAST KINGSTON
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

[signature]
SECRETARY OF STATE

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To Vote. Completely fill in the oval ● to the right of your choice. For each office vote for not more than the number of candidates stated in the sentence: "Vote for not more than ___." If you vote for more than the stated number of candidates, your vote for that office will not be counted.

SAMPLE

2. To Vote by Write-In. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write in the name of the person in the "write-in" space. Completely fill in the oval ● to the right of your choice.

Offices	Republican Candidates	Democratic Candidates	Libertarian and Other Candidates	Write-in Candidates
For **Governor** Vote for not more than **1**	Chris Sununu ○	Molly Kelly ○	Jilletta Jarvis ○	○ Governor
For **Representative in Congress** Vote for not more than **1**	Eddie Edwards ○	Chris Pappas ○	Dan Belforti ○	○ Representative in Congress
For **Executive Councilor** Vote for not more than **1**	Russell Prescott ○	Joe Pace ○	James D. Jarvis ○	○ Executive Council
For **State Senator** Vote for not more than **1**	Bill Gannon ○	Jon Morgan ○		○ State Senator
For **State Representative Rockingham District 16** Vote for not more than **1**	Dan Davis ○	Peter D. Oldak ○		○ State Representative Rockingham District 16
For **State Representative Rockingham District 35** Vote for not more than **1**	Deborah L. Hobson ○	Rick Faulconer ○		○ State Representative Rockingham District 35
For **Sheriff** Vote for not more than **1**	Chuck Massahos ○			○ Sheriff
For **County Attorney** Vote for not more than **1**	Patricia Conway ○			○ County Attorney
For **County Treasurer** Vote for not more than **1**	Scott Priestley ○	David E. Ahearn ○		○ County Treasurer
For **Register of Deeds** Vote for not more than **1**	Cathy Stacey ○	Bill Tappan ○		○ Register of Deeds
For **Register of Probate** Vote for not more than **1**	Ray Tweedie ○	Bob Davidson ○	Griffin Poutre ○	○ Register of Probate

2018 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT QUESTIONS
Constitutional Amendments Proposed by the 2018 General Court

1. "Are you in favor of amending article 8 of the first part of the constitution to read as follows:
[Art.] 8. [Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know.] All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive. To that end, the public's right of access to governmental proceedings and records shall not be unreasonably restricted. The public also has a right to an orderly, lawful, and accountable government. Therefore, any individual taxpayer eligible to vote in the State, shall have standing to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State or political subdivision in which the taxpayer resides has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, ordinance, or constitutional provision. In such a case, the taxpayer shall not have to demonstrate that his or her personal rights were impaired or prejudiced beyond his or her status as a taxpayer. However, this right shall not apply when the challenged governmental action is the subject of a judicial or administrative decision from which there is a right of appeal by statute or otherwise by the parties to that proceeding."
(Passed by the N.H. House 309 Yes 9 No; Passed by State Senate 22 Yes 2 No) CACR 15   **Yes** ○   **No** ○

2. "Are you in favor of amending the first part of the constitution by inserting after article 2-a a new article to read as follows:
[Art.] 2-b. [Right to Privacy.] An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent."
(Passed by the N.H. House 235 Yes 96 No; Passed by State Senate 15 Yes 9 No) CACR 16   **Yes** ○   **No** ○

ABSENTEE
OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR
CONCORD WARD 2
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

SECRETARY OF STATE

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To Vote. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice. For each office vote for not more than the number of candidates stated in the sentence: "Vote for not more than ____." If you vote for more than the stated number of candidates, your vote for that office will not be counted.

SAMPLE

2. To Vote by Write-In. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write in the name of the person in the "write-in" space. Completely fill in the oval ⬤ to the right of your choice.

Offices	Republican Candidates	Libertarian and Other Candidates	Democratic Candidates	Write-in Candidates
For **Governor** Vote for not more than **1**	Chris Sununu ◯	Jilletta Jarvis ◯	Molly Kelly ◯	◯ Governor
For **Representative in Congress** Vote for not more than **1**	Steven Negron ◯	Justin O'Donnell ◯	Ann McLane Kuster ◯	◯ Representative in Congress
For **Executive Councilor** Vote for not more than **1**	James S. Beard ◯		Andru Volinsky ◯	◯ Executive Council
For **State Senator** Vote for not more than **1**	Pamela Ean ◯		Dan Feltes ◯	◯ State Senator
For **State Representative Merrimack District 12** Vote for not more than **1**			Connie Lane ◯	◯ State Representative Merrimack District 12
For **State Representatives Merrimack District 27** Vote for not more than **2**			Art Ellison ◯	◯ State Representative Merrimack District 27
			Rebecca McWilliams ◯	◯ State Representative Merrimack District 27
For **Sheriff** Vote for not more than **1**	Scott E. Hilliard ◯		Ricardo Rodriguez ◯	◯ Sheriff
For **County Attorney** Vote for not more than **1**	Paul Halvorsen ◯		Robin Davis ◯	◯ County Attorney
For **County Treasurer** Vote for not more than **1**	David H. Kidder ◯		Leo R. Bernier ◯	◯ County Treasurer
For **Register of Deeds** Vote for not more than **1**	Charlene A. Brack ◯		Susan Cragin ◯	◯ Register of Deeds
For **Register of Probate** Vote for not more than **1**	Jane Bradstreet ◯		Erica S. Davis ◯	◯ Register of Probate
For **County Commissioner** Vote for not more than **1**			Tara Reardon ◯	◯ County Commissioner

2018 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT QUESTIONS
Constitutional Amendments Proposed by the 2018 General Court

1. "Are you in favor of amending article 8 of the first part of the constitution to read as follows:
[Art.] 8. [Accountability of Magistrates and Officers; Public's Right to Know.] All power residing originally in, and being derived from, the people, all the magistrates and officers of government are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them. Government, therefore, should be open, accessible, accountable and responsive. To that end, the public's right of access to governmental proceedings and records shall not be unreasonably restricted. The public also has a right to an orderly, lawful, and accountable government. Therefore, any individual taxpayer eligible to vote in the State, shall have standing to petition the Superior Court to declare whether the State or political subdivision in which the taxpayer resides has spent, or has approved spending, public funds in violation of a law, ordinance, or constitutional provision. In such a case, the taxpayer shall not have to demonstrate that his or her personal rights were impaired or prejudiced beyond his or her status as a taxpayer. However, this right shall not apply when the challenged governmental action is the subject of a judicial or administrative decision from which there is a right of appeal by statute or otherwise by the parties to that proceeding."
(Passed by the N.H. House 309 Yes 9 No; Passed by State Senate 22 Yes 2 No) CACR 15   **Yes** ◯   **No** ◯

2. "Are you in favor of amending the first part of the constitution by inserting after article 2-a a new article to read as follows:
[Art.] 2-b. [Right to Privacy.] An individual's right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent."
(Passed by the N.H. House 235 Yes 96 No; Passed by State Senate 15 Yes 9 No) CACR 16   **Yes** ◯   **No** ◯